

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,073	MATARASSO, HASDI	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) CLINTON OSTRUP.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Pamela Wingood.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 February 2009

**Time:** Original contact 2/24/09 at 2:45pm+/-

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

28, 41 & 53

Prior art documents discussed:

No

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Clinton Ostrup/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771  
 /Justine Yu/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 2/24/08 The examiner informed Ms. Wingood that independent claims 28 and 41 appeared to be allowable over the prior art and claim 53 would be allowable over the prior art if the newly added limitation of claim 1 beginning at "two air delivery" and ending at the first occurrence of "Venturi device" were incorporated into claim 53. Ms. Wingood said she would discuss the proposed claim limitation with her client.

On 2/25/09 Ms. Wingood sent the examiner a proposed claim amendment. The examiner proposed slight modifications to the proposed amendment to clarify the claim. Ms. Wingood said she would discuss the modifications with her client.

On 3/3/09 Ms. Wingood said applicant's were agreeable to the proposed changes and asked that the changes be made by examiner's amendment.